

LAW OFFICES OF DALE K. GALIPO
Dale K. Galipo, Esq. (SBN 144074)
E-mail: dalekgalipo@yahoo.com
Eric Valenzuela, Esq. (SBN 284500)
Email: evalenzuela@galipolaw.com
21800 Burbank Blvd., Suite 310
Woodland Hills, CA 91367
Tel: (818) 347-3333

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

J.F., a minor, by and through her
Guardian Ad Litem, LIZ FRANCO, et
al.,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

CITY OF WOODLAKE, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:23-CV-01569-JLT-BAM

[Hon. Jennifer L. Thurston]

**DECLARATION OF ERIC
VALENZUELA IN SUPPORT OF
PLAINTIFF'S UNOPPOSED *EX
PARTE* APPLICATION FOR
APPROVAL OF THE
COMPROMISE OF THE CLAIM
OF MINOR PLAINTIFF M.M.**

*[Exhibits; Ex Parte Application;
Proposed Order filed concurrently
herewith]*

DECLARATION OF ERIC VALENZUELA

1
2 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice law in the United States District
3 Court for the Eastern District of California. I am one of the attorneys of record for
4 the Plaintiffs in this action. I have personal knowledge of the matters stated herein
5 and would and could testify competently thereto if called. I make this declaration in
6 support of Plaintiffs' *ex parte* application for approval of the compromise of the
7 claims of the minor Plaintiff, M.M., by and through her guardian *ad litem*, Jennifer
8 Menendez, individually and as a successor in interest to Victor Melendez, deceased.

9 2. Prior to filing this *ex parte* application, I contacted Defendants' counsel
10 to confirm that Defendants will not be opposing the instant application, including by
11 providing Defendants with a copy of the application. Defendants are represented by
12 Van Longyear, Esq., of Longyear, Lavra & Cahill, LLP, 555 University Avenue,
13 Suite 280, Sacramento, CA 95825.

14 3. Defendants and their counsel do not oppose the filing of this
15 Application on an *ex parte* basis, nor do Defendants and their counsel oppose the
16 substance of this Application.

17 4. This Application seeks approval of the compromise of Plaintiff M.M.'s
18 claims on an *ex parte* basis because M.M.'s guardian *ad litem*, after consulting with
19 an annuity broker, vetting insurance companies, and reviewing proposed annuity
20 plans, has chosen an annuity the interest rate for which is likely to expire if this
21 petition is heard as a regularly noticed motion. For that reason, filing this
22 Application as a regularly-noticed motion may cause a decrease in payment benefits
23 to the minor plaintiff. *Id.* Accordingly, Petitioner Jennifer Melendez as guardian *ad*
24 *litem* for minor Plaintiff M.M. respectfully requests that this Court consider this
25 Application on an *ex parte* basis.

26 5. The settlement agreement obligates Defendants to pay Plaintiffs
27 \$300,000 to settle their claims, plus \$150,000 in attorney's fees, including statutory
28 fees and costs. Out of the settlement proceeds, each of the three Plaintiffs will

1 receive \$100,000 and their attorneys have agreed to not deduct any of the litigation
2 costs from the Plaintiffs' share of the settlement.

3 6. The nature of Plaintiff M.M.'s claims in this lawsuit are set forth in the
4 operative complaint. Pursuant to the settlement agreement, Plaintiff's claims will be
5 compromised without a trial on the merits.

6 7. Plaintiff M.M.'s damages in this case arise from (1) the injuries
7 suffered by the decedent, for which Plaintiff M.M. can recover survival damages as
8 a successor in interest; and (2) Plaintiff M.M.'s individual loss of the decedent's
9 comfort, care, companionship, training, support, and guidance (wrongful death
10 damages).

11 8. Plaintiff M.M.'s attorneys (which include the Law Offices of Dale K.
12 Galipo and Chain, Cohn and Clark), are requesting attorneys' fees in a total amount
13 of \$150,000 for representing all three Plaintiffs, including statutory fees and costs.
14 This amount is the equivalent of 33.33% of the total settlement funds allocated to
15 the Plaintiffs M.M., J.F. and V.F. or \$300,000 for the Plaintiffs' portion of the
16 settlement and \$150,000 in attorneys' fees, including statutory fees and costs.

17 9. The contingency retainer agreements between Plaintiffs and their
18 attorneys provide for a 40% contingency fee, but J.F., V.F. and M.M.'s attorneys
19 would only receive what is the equivalent of 33.33% contingency fee with respect to
20 the minors' portions of the settlement funds and are also not deducting any litigation
21 costs from the Plaintiffs' share of the settlement. The parties agreed to settle the
22 Plaintiffs' case for \$300,000 and also agreed to pay \$150,000 in attorneys' fees,
23 including statutory fees and costs. Plaintiffs' attorneys are thus requesting
24 approval of \$150,000 in attorneys' fees (including contingency fees, statutory fees
25 and litigations costs) and \$300,000 allocated to J.F., V.F. and M.M.

26 10. Under the existing retainer agreements, Plaintiffs' attorneys are entitled
27 a 40% attorney recovery fee, plus reimbursement of advanced litigation costs.
28 However, Plaintiffs' counsel would only receive \$150,000, in attorney's fees,

1 including statutory fees, which is the equivalent of a 33.33% contingency fee with
2 respect to the three minor Plaintiffs' share of the settlement and have voluntarily
3 waived litigation costs from being deducted from the minors' share. The parties
4 agreed to settle the Plaintiffs' case for \$300,000, plus \$150,000 in attorneys' fees,
5 including statutory fees and costs. The contingency attorney fee award in this case
6 is justified by attorney Dale K. Galipo's skill and experience in the civil rights field,
7 the difficulties of this case, and the risk assumed by Plaintiffs' counsel in a case with
8 difficult facts. If Plaintiffs' attorneys were not awarded a significant compensatory
9 fee in difficult civil rights cases of public importance, then attorneys would not be
10 able to take such cases. In turn, plaintiffs such as M.M. would not be able to attract
11 competent counsel who could achieve similar results and achieve justice for victims
12 of police brutality. Accordingly, Plaintiffs and their attorneys submit that they are
13 deserving of the requested \$150,000 (which is the equivalent of a 33.33% of the
14 total recovery) of the settlement.

15 11. The gross amount of the Plaintiffs' portion of the settlement is
16 \$300,000, plus an additional payment of \$150,000 in attorneys' fees, including
17 statutory fees and costs. Also, the share of these proceeds apportioned for minor
18 Plaintiffs M.M., J.F. and V.F. is \$300,000. After deducting requested attorneys'
19 fees, which is the equivalent of \$50,000 per minor Plaintiff, the total net settlement
20 proceeds to each of the Plaintiffs M.M., J.F. and V.F. is \$100,000. A separate
21 minor's compromise is being filed on behalf of minor Plaintiffs, J.F. and V.F.

22 12. It is requested that \$100,000 be used to fund a structured settlement
23 annuity for Plaintiff M.M., that \$100,000 be used to fund a structured settlement
24 annuity for Plaintiff J.F., and that \$100,000 be used to fund a structured settlement
25 annuity for Plaintiff V.F. (J.F. and V.F. are the subject of a separate minors'
26 compromise application).

27 13. Attached as "Exhibit A" is the proposed structured settlement annuity
28 and disbursement schedules for Plaintiff M.M. Under the proposal set forth in

1 “Exhibit A,” the total amount that Plaintiff M.M. shall receive after the final
2 payment is made to her is \$153,820 or \$116,370 in annual payments and monthly
3 payments of \$350 for 8 years and 11 month (totaling \$37,450). The structured
4 settlement annuity provides for a monthly payment of \$350 to be made to parent and
5 guardian ad litem, Jennifer Menendez, until M.M. turns seventeen years old.
6 Petitioner is in agreement that this monthly payment of \$350 is to be used
7 specifically and exclusively on minor M.M. for her necessities of life, including
8 food, clothing, shelter and educational expenses. M.M.’s guardian ad litem,
9 Petitioner Jennifer Menendez, has reviewed the proposed annuity and disbursement
10 schedule for M.M. set forth in “Exhibit A” and believes it is in the best interest of
11 M.M.

12 14. This application or petition does not seek an order for payment of
13 money to a special needs trust.

14 15. I (attorney Eric Valenzuela, California State Bar Number 284500)
15 prepared the petition filed concurrently herewith. I am an attorney with the Law
16 Offices of Dale K. Galipo, located at 21800 Burbank Boulevard, Suite 310,
17 Woodland Hills, California, which represents the Plaintiffs in this action, along with
18 Chain, Cohn and Clark.

19 16. Plaintiff M.M.’s attorneys (the Law Offices of Dale K. Galipo and
20 Chain, Cohn and Clark) did not become concerned with this matter at the instance of
21 any party against whom the claim of said minors is asserted.

22 17. Plaintiff M.M.’s attorneys (the Law Offices of Dale K. Galipo and
23 Chain, Cohn and Clark) are not employed by any other party, or any insurance
24 carrier involved in the matter.

25 18. Plaintiff M.M.’s attorneys (the Law Offices of Dale K. Galipo and
26 Chain, Cohn and Clark) have not to date received any compensation for their
27 services in connection herewith from any person.
28

19. Plaintiffs J.F., V.F., and M.M., are the only Plaintiffs in the above-referenced action. Plaintiffs' attorneys expect to receive \$150,000 in attorney's fees, including statutory fees and costs (for all three minor plaintiffs), as set forth above.

20. Plaintiffs' attorneys accepted this engagement for a contingency fee, plus reimbursement for any costs advanced. The retainer agreements with all Plaintiffs provides for a 40% contingency attorney fee on any recovery Plaintiffs make on their case by way of verdict or settlement, but Plaintiffs' counsel would only receive \$150,000, which is the equivalent of a 33.33% contingency fee on Plaintiffs J.F., V.F., and M.M.'s recovery and has also voluntarily waived litigation costs from being deducted from the Plaintiffs' share of the settlement.

21. The age and sex of the minor: M.M. is 8 years old and is female.

22. Attached hereto as “Exhibit B” is a group of documents from Metropolitan Tower Life Insurance Company, including the ratings and sample guarantee.

I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed this 5th day of November 2025, at Woodland Hills, California.

/s/ Eric Valenzuela

Eric Valenzuela